UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,815	08/07/2003	Jay D. Knitter	200209059-1	9770
22879 7590 12/19/2007 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER	
			BIAGINI, CHRISTOPHER D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

M

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/635,815	KNITTER, JAY D.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher D. Biagini	2142	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 26 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below): (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🛛 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🖾 will not be entered, or b) 🗌 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: N/A. Claim(s) objected to: N/A. Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: N/A. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _ 13. Other: Pindrew (Addutal ANDREW CALDWELL

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

SUPERVICORY PATENT EXAMINER

Application No. 10/635,815

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Conttnuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments contain the newly presented limitation of determining an object on the client computer. This limitation requires further search and consideration, and has therefore been denied entry.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding the argument that the Examiner has incorrectly required the specification to provide significant guidance and direction, the Examiner notes that the level of predictability in the art is but one factor to be weighed when determining compliance with the enablement requirement. The Examiner further notes that although applications 10/448,646 and 10/449 are incorporated by reference, they do not appear to contain guidance sufficient to enable the claims in the instant application.

Regarding the arguments that the Examiner has "inappropriately read unnecessary limitations into the claims in making the rejection," the Examiner notes that both in the art and in common usage, the term "proxy" refers to an agent or device which acts on behalf of another. The claimed "client server" relays messages between the "client computer" and "data server," and thus acts as a proxy. The term "proxy" was not intended to imply any functionality or structure beyond that already claimed.

Regarding the argument that the Office has "merely asserted that the claims are not enabled...without providing any evidentiary basis," the Examiner notes that the Office has provided evidence to support the assertions made in the Final Office Action (see the document "Re: Finding the caller in java [sic]"). In addition, the Examiner notes that specific technical reasons were supplied in the Final Office Action, and these reasons remain unrefuted by Applicant. Finally, the Examiner notes that references to show lack of enablement are not always required. See MPEP 2164.04.

These arguments as they apply to the new limitations in the claims will only be addressed when the claims are entered.